

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/502,340	09/502,340 02/10/2000		Robert H. Fuerhoff	MEMC 99-0900 (2632)	5009
321	7590	06/11/2003			
SENNIGE	R POWE	RS LEAVITT AN	EXAMINER		
16TH FLOO	)R	AN SQUARE	ANDERSON, MATTHEW A		
ST LOUIS, MO 63		02		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1765	92
				DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		A 9-2-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/502,340	FUERHOFF ET AL.
, and y, tenen	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew A. Anderson	1765
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	. THIS APPLICATION IN COI avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendmer	NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in
, , ,	REPLY [check either a) or b	)]
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the main b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The sequence of the filled is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Cotimely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	is Advisory Action, or (2) the date re later than SIX MONTHS from the AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHE the date on which the petition under dof extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period of the later than three months after	ne mailing date of the final rejection.  IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C		·
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);	
<ul><li>(c)</li></ul>	n in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simplifying the
(d)they present additional claims without cance	eling-a-corresponding-numb	per of finally-rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	· · ——	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ild be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request f application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has beel See Continuation Sheet.	n considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SO	LELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .  Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐	disapproved by the Examiner
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem		
10. Other:		ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER
•		/

Application No. 009/502,340

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The examiner must further consider and/or search the newly added limitation to the pull rate and the target pull rate used in the ingot pulling..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the PID of Cope has upper and lower tolerances and the pull rate is continually optimized to fall within the target pull zone..